
Colorado Recreational Marijuana Sales – Year 1 Update

FTA Tobacco Tax Section Annual Meeting

August 18, 2014

Chris Muntean, Colorado Department of Revenue

The “Highs...”

- DOR providing hands-on, proactive taxpayer service
 - Electronic filing requirement creates opportunities for improved communications
 - Collections substantial (depending on your version of projections)
 - Very few nonfilers
-

DOR hands-on, proactive taxpayer service (classes, etc.)

- Department invited industry reps to a filing demo
 - Sent letters to all new licensees with filing instructions
-

Electronic filing requirement creates opportunities for improved communication

- Marijuana taxpayers are required to create online accounts
 - DOR can immediately communicate messages to taxpayers, whether mass messages or individual account issues
-

Collections high (depending on your version of projections)

- \$35 million in total MJ-related taxes and fees through May 2014 sales
 - Approximately half attributable to retail operations
 - Revenues have been slowly increasing
 - Originally, state predicted \$184 million in tax collections during initial 18 months, then backed off to a more achievable \$79 million number
-

Noncompliance almost non-existent,
especially when compared to other taxes

- In recent months, about 98%+ required returns have been filed
 - DOR is requiring newly licensed businesses to file even prior to their opening
-

“Dazed and Confused”

- Average market rate over- and under-taxes some MJ products
 - Some confusion with multiple-step license approval requirements (local, county, state, etc.)
 - Medical growers receiving waivers to the 5-patient cap rule, allowing them to run commercial-size operations without the accompanying oversight and tax liability
 - Industry has limited access to bank accounts, creating challenges with payments, audits, etc.
 - Confusion over the exact impact of recreational tax rates on price and effect on black market
 - The tax-free "one-time-transfer" can allow businesses to manipulate their inventory to avoid excise tax
-

Average market rate over- and under-taxes some MJ products

- Excise tax calculated on the average market rate of the type of product (bud, trim, or whole plant)
 - Cultivators specializing in lesser-quality products understandably have issues with the system
 - As industry removes integration requirements, there is an opportunity to revisit this approach
-

Some confusion with multiple-step license approval requirements (local, county, state, etc.)

- New retail businesses are often required to be approved by multiple jurisdictions before being able to open
 - This can be challenging for both the business and the governmental agencies to track
-

Medical growers receiving waivers to the 5-patient cap rule, allowing them to run commercial-size operations without the accompanying oversight and tax liability

- Original inflated projections of retail tax collections were based on a predicted “migration” of users from medical to retail industry
 - This migration hasn’t happened, generating suspicion that some medical operations have expanded beyond the spirit of the law in order to accommodate a larger clientele
-

Industry has limited access to bank accounts, creating challenges with payments, audits, etc.

- Colorado passed legislation (HB 14-1398) allowing pot businesses to petition the Federal Reserve to allow “co-ops” of MJ businesses pooling their money
 - The US Treasury has provided guidance to banks to legally provide services to MJ businesses
 - Despite these efforts, banks aren’t yet fully on board
 - Some MJ businesses are finding ways to use accounts, credit cards, etc.
-

Confusion over the exact impact of recreational tax rates on price and effect on black market

- Recreational prices began 2-3 times higher than medical for the same products
 - Industry and public often blame the high price on “taxes,” even though the rates (15% excise and 10% special sales) don’t begin to explain the inflated retail prices
 - Others complain the high tax rates ensure the continuance of black market MJ sales
-

The tax-free "one-time-transfer" can allow businesses to manipulate their inventory to avoid excise tax

- In order to have sufficient retail inventory upon opening, stores were allowed to transfer existing medical MJ onto their retail shelves
 - Each retail store was allowed a one-time transfer of product that would not be subject to the 15% excise tax (any subsequent transfers are forbidden)
 - Some businesses were able to transfer large amounts of product for the recreational kickoff– as a result total excise tax collections were low in the first couple months of retail sales
-